



Reviewer's Report

*Thank you for agreeing to review for Taadler Journals.
Please provide your review within four weeks.*

Submission Number

Title:

.....
.....
.....

Date of receipt: Date returned:

Reviewer's declaration		
I'm sure I qualified, as an expert, to evaluate the quality of articles on this topic.	Yes	No
Specific aspects of the original that I must evaluate and those to be ignored, always maintaining respect for the authors.	Yes	No
I will return the manuscript once read and after issuing the report.	Yes	No
I will issue the report within the four weeks	Yes	No
I will declare any personal, academic, research, economic or financial conflict of interest related to the manuscript.	Yes	No
I will maintain the confidentiality of both the manuscript and the review.	Yes	No
I understand that the reputation of the journal depends on the quality of my work	Yes	No
Methodology and discussion		
Is it clear what the authors are trying to achieve?	Yes	No
Does the literature review take into consideration the most important works?	Yes	No
Does manuscript correspond to aims and scope of the journal?	Yes	No
Is the structure of the discussion appropriate?	Yes	No
Does discussion only repeat the results but does not interpret them?		
Have any parts of the manuscript been published before?	Yes	No
The originality and novelty		
Are the topic(s), subject or specific problem outlined and defined clearly?	Yes	No
Are the results interesting?	Yes	No
Is the research important?	Yes	No
Are the results backed up with evidence?	Yes	No
The clarity, logical coherence and conciseness of presentation		

The style is appropriate (clear, concise and following a logical sequence)	Yes	No
Are the figures and tables all necessary, complete (e.g., titles), and clearly presented?	Yes	No
Is the title relevant to the paper content?	Yes	No
Are there any errors, flaws or mistakes in the manuscript?	Yes	No
Is the abstract sufficiently informative, especially when read in isolation?	Yes	No
Are the references adequate according to the Guidelines?	Yes	No
Do the conclusions interpret and correspond to the results obtained in the work?	Yes	No
Is the length of the paper in keeping with its importance?	Yes	No
Overall evaluation and <u>your decision</u> (tick one)		
Very well executed and presented paper: <u>accept without further amendments</u>		
Above average paper: <u>accept with minor amendments</u>		
Below average paper: <u>accept after substantial amendments</u>		
Very poor paper: <u>should not be published</u>		

Reviewer's comments

Please give your comments here.

Your comments and suggestions are an important part of the review. They must be set such a way that they can be passed directly to the author(s) unchanged.

Taadler Journals Reviewer's Form

Please send this form to: managing.editor@taadler.com or by Fax +380674417219